

STRICTLY NEF DIVISORS

FRÉDÉRIC CAMPANA, JUNGKAI A. CHEN AND THOMAS PETERNELL

INTRODUCTION

Given a line bundle L on a projective manifold X , the Nakai-Moishezon criterion says that L is ample if and only if

$$L^s \cdot Y > 0$$

for all s and all irreducible subvarieties $Y \subset X$ of dimension s . Examples show that it is not sufficient to assume that $L \cdot C > 0$ for all curves; line bundles with this property are called *strictly nef*. If however $L = K_X$ is strictly nef, then standard conjectures predict that K_X is already ample; this is proved by “Abundance” in dimension up to 3 (Kawamata, Miyaoka). If $L = -K_X$ is strictly nef in dimension 3, then Serrano [Se95] showed that $-K_X$ is ample, i.e. X is a Fano threefold. This lead him to set up the following

0.1. Conjecture. *Let X_n be a projective manifold and L a strictly nef line bundle on X . Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for any real $t > n + 1$.*

Serrano established the conjecture in dimension 2, and also in dimension 3, with the following possible exceptions:

- X is Calabi-Yau and $L \cdot c_2(X) = 0$;
- X is uniruled with irregularity $q(X) \leq 1$, in particular X is rationally connected;
- X is uniruled with irregularity $q(X) = 2$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

As said, he also settled the case $L = -K_X$ in dimension 3.

In this paper we rule out the two last cases and establish also results in higher dimensions:

0.2. Theorem. *Let X_n be a projective manifold and L a strictly nef line bundle on X . Then $K_X + tL$ is ample if $t > n + 1$ in the following cases.*

- 1.) $\dim X = 3$ unless (possibly) X is Calabi-Yau with $L \cdot c_2 = 0$;
- 2.) $\kappa(X) \geq n - 2$;
- 3.) $\dim \alpha(X) \geq n - 2$, with $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$ the Albanese map.

Statement 2) (resp. 3), resp. 1)) will be proved in §2 (resp. §3, resp. §4-5).

The remaining three-dimensional case that X is Calabi-Yau with $L \cdot c_2 = 0$ is a very hard problem in Calabi-Yau theory and definitely requires very different methods.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Basic definitions, known results and main problems	2
2. Results in case of positive Kodaira dimension	3

Date: october 25, 2005.

3. The Albanese map	5
4. Fano fibrations	8
5. Birational maps	11
6. Higher dimensions	14
References	15

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS, KNOWN RESULTS AND MAIN PROBLEMS

For technical reasons we have to consider not only strictly nef line bundles, but also a slight generalization of this notion.

1.1. Definition. *Let X be a normal projective variety.*

- 1.) *A line bundle L over X is strictly nef, if $L \cdot C > 0$ for all irreducible curves $C \subset X$.*
- 2.) *L is almost strictly nef, if there is a normal projective variety X' , a surjective birational holomorphic map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ and a strictly nef line bundle L' on X' such that $L = f^*(L')$.*

The main problem on strictly nef line bundles is Serrano's:

1.2. Conjecture. *Let X_n be a projective manifold and let L be a strictly nef line bundle on X . Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > n + 1$.*

Remark: *More generally, one might conjecture that if X_n is a normal projective variety with canonical singularities and index $i(X)$, and if L is a strictly nef line bundle on X , then $K_X + tL$ is ample for all $t > i(X)(n + 1)$.*

By definition, the index $i(X)$ is the smallest number i such that iK_X is Cartier. One could add (in the smooth case) that $K_X + nL$ is always nef, and not ample if and only if $X = \mathbb{P}_n, L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$.

It is known since a long time that strictly nef divisors need not be ample; even if moreover big. See Ramanujam's example in [Ha70].

There are however three important special cases of the conjecture, namely when $L = K_X$ (resp. $L = -K_X$), resp. $K_X \equiv 0$. In the first case the abundance conjecture predicts that mK_X is spanned for a suitable large m so that K_X will be ample as soon as K_X is strictly nef. This is known in dimension up to 3. In the second case X should be Fano if $-K_X$ is strictly nef. In the last case, L should be ample.

1.3. Remark. Perhaps the best justification for the above conjecture (1.2) is that it holds for L if and only if

$$L^\perp \cap K_X^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X) = \{0\},$$

in $N_1(X)$, see Proposition 1.4 below. So the conjecture should be viewed as a statement on the cone $\overline{NE}(X)$, at the points where the intersection number with K and L simultaneously vanish. Observe thus that the crucial cases are precisely the three "special" cases above, where $L = K_X$, $L = -K_X$, and $K_X \equiv 0$.

Notice also that, if $\overline{NE}(X)$ is generated by the classes of irreducible curves (i.e. without taking limits), then the conjecture is true since $K_X + tL$ is again strictly nef, for $t > (n + 1)$ (1.6). This holds in particular if X is Fano.

By $ME(X)$ we will always denote the cone of movable curves. Its closure is the cone dual to the cone of effective divisors; see [BDPP04] for details.

1.4. Proposition. *Let L be strictly nef and $\alpha \in \overline{NE}(X)$ such that $(K_X + tL) \cdot \alpha = 0$ ($t > n + 1$). Then*

- 1.) $K_X \cdot \alpha = L \cdot \alpha = 0$.
- 2.) $\alpha \in \partial ME(X)$ for a suitable choice of α .

Proof. (1) Suppose $L \cdot \alpha \neq 0$. Then $L \cdot \alpha > 0$ and $K_X \cdot \alpha < 0$. By the cone theorem we can write

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i C_i + R$$

with C_i extremal and $K_X \cdot R \geq 0$. Since $-K_X \cdot C_i \leq n + 1$, and $tL \cdot C_i \geq t > n + 1$, for all i , we have $(K_X + tL) \cdot C_i > 0$, which gives a contradiction.

(2) If there is no nonzero $\alpha \in \partial ME(X)$ with $(K_X + tL) \cdot \alpha = 0$, then by [BDPP04] $K_X + tL$ is big. But then $K_X + tL$ is ample, by (1.6(2)) below. $\square$

The following cases have been settled by Serrano [Se95]

1.5. Theorem. *(Serrano)*

- 1.) *Let X be a irreducible reduced projective Gorenstein surface and L strictly nef on X . Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for any real $t > 3$.*
- 2.) *Let X be a smooth projective threefold and L strictly nef. Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > 4$ with the following possible exceptions only: X is Calabi-Yau and $L \cdot c_2 = 0$; or X is uniruled with $q \leq 1$; or X is uniruled, $q = 2$ and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.*

Moreover X is Fano as soon as $-K_X$ is strictly nef.

The following more technical results are also due to Serrano.

1.6. Proposition. *Let X be an n -dimensional connected projective manifold and L a strictly nef line bundle on X .*

- 1.) *For every real number $t > n + 1$, $K_X + tL$ is a strictly nef $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. This also holds for $t \gg 0$ and X a normal projective variety with only canonical singularities.*
- 2.) *If $K_X + tL$ is not ample for some real number $t > n + 1$, then $K_X^j \cdot L^{n-j} = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$. So if $(K_X + tL)^n \neq 0$ for some real number $t > n + 1$ (i.e. if $K_X + tL$ is big and strictly nef), then $K_X + tL$ is ample.*
- 3.) *If $\dim X = 3$ and $|pK_X + qL|$ contains an effective non-zero divisor for some integers p, q , then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > 4$.*

The last proposition says in particular that to prove Conjecture 1.2 for each $t > n + 1$, it is sufficient to prove it for some positive integer $t > n + 1$.

2. RESULTS IN CASE OF POSITIVE KODAIRA DIMENSION

If X is of general type, then Conjecture (1.2) easily holds:

2.1. Proposition. *Let X be a projective n -dimensional manifold with $\kappa(X) = n$. Let L be strictly nef on X . Then $K_X + (n+1)L$ is ample.*

Proof. Let $t > n+1$ be a rational number. By (1.6), $K_X + tL$ is strictly nef. Then $2(K_X + tL) - K_X$ is big and nef, hence by the base point free theorem, $K_X + tL$ is semi-ample and strictly nef, hence ample. $\square$

If X is not of general type, things are more complicated; here we want to use the Iitaka fibration. For technical reasons we introduce

2.2. Conjecture. (C_d) : *Let F_d be a projective manifold with $\kappa(F) = 0$. Let L be almost strictly nef. Then, $K_F + tL$ is big for $t > d+1$.*

2.3. Theorem. *Let X be an n -dimensional connected projective manifold with $\kappa(X) = k \geq 0$. Let L be a strictly nef divisor on X . Suppose that C_d holds for $d = n - k$. Then, $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > n+1$.*

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the Iitaka fibration; we may assume $\dim Y = k \geq 1$, because otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let $\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be a sequence of blow-ups such that the induced map $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic, and moreover we can write:

$$\pi^*(mK_X) = \hat{f}^*(A) + E \quad (*)$$

with an ample divisor A on Y and an effective divisor E . We also have an equality: $K_{\hat{X}} = \pi^*(K_X) + E'$, for some effective E' . Let us set: $\hat{L} = \pi^*(L)$.

By (C_d) applied to the general fiber F of $\hat{f}$, the divisor $K_F + t\hat{L}$ is big, for $t > d+1$, even.

Thus $\pi^*(K_X) + E' + t\hat{L}$ is $\hat{f}$ -big. The following Lemma (2.4) therefore applies, with $N = \pi^*(K_X) + t\hat{L}$ and with $D = E'$ and shows the bigness of

$$B := \pi^*(K_X) + E' + t\hat{L} + \hat{f}^*(A) = (f^*(A) + E) + (\pi^*(K_X) + E' + t\hat{L})$$

Thus by $(*)$, $\pi^*((m+1)(K_X)) + E' + t\hat{L} = B + E$ is big, and so does

$$\pi^*((m+1)K_X) + E' + (m+1)t\hat{L} = (B + E) + mt\hat{L},$$

being the sum of two divisors, $B + E$, which is big, and $mt\hat{L}$, which is nef.

Therefore $K_{\hat{X}} + t\hat{L}$ is also big and thus ample, by (1.6). $\square$

2.4. Lemma. *Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic map of projective varieties. Let A, N, D be $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors, with A ample on Y , N nef on X and D effective on X . Suppose that $D + N$ is g -big, i.e. big on the general fiber. Then $D + N + g^*(A)$ is big.*

Proof. Choose and fix k large such that $D + N + g^*(kA)$ is big.

(This is a standard fact, seen as follows, by a relative version of Kodaira's Lemma: let H be g -ample on X . Then choose m such that $g_*(m(D + N) - H)$ has positive rank. This is obviously possible, by the coherence of direct image sheaves, since $(D + N)$ is g -big. See [KMM87,0-3-4], for example. Now choose k large enough, such that $g_*(m(D + N) - H) + kA$ has a section. Thus $E := m(D + N) - H + g^*(kA)$ is effective, and $m(D + N) + g^*(kA) = H + E$ is of the form: ample plus effective, and thus big, as claimed).

Thus $D + N + g^*(kA) = aH + E$ with H ample, a a positive rational number and E an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Since N is nef, $N + \epsilon H$ is ample for all positive numbers ϵ ; choose ϵ such that $(k - 1)\epsilon < a$.

Next observe, introducing the effective divisor $E' = (k - 1)(D + N + \epsilon H)$ that

$$(k - 1)(D + N + \epsilon H) + D + N + g^*(kA) = E' + aH + E =: aH + E''$$

with E'' effective. On the other hand,

$$(k - 1)(D + N + \epsilon H) + D + N + g^*(kA) = k(D + N + g^*(A)) + (k - 1)\epsilon H,$$

hence, subtracting $(k - 1)\epsilon H$ from both sides, we get the equality:

$$k(D + N + g^*(A)) = aH + E'' - (k - 1)\epsilon H = (a - (k - 1)\epsilon)H + E''.$$

Since $(a - (k - 1)\epsilon) > 0$, by the choice of ϵ , the right hand side divisor is big, hence $D + N + g^*(A)$ is also big. $\square$

Conjecture C_1 being obvious, we are now going to prove C_2 .

2.5. Proposition. *Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\kappa(F) = 0$, L almost strictly nef. Then $K_X + tL$ is big for $t > 3$.*

Proof. Fix a rational number $t > 3$ and suppose that $K_X + tL$ is not big.

By blowing down the (-1) -curves E_i with $L \cdot E_i = 0$, we may assume that $K_X + tL$ is nef. So if $(K_X + tL)$ is not big, we must have $(K_X + tL)^2 = 0$. If $L^2 > 0$, then of course our claim is clear, so suppose $L^2 = 0$. Hence

$$(K_X^2 + 2tK_X) \cdot L = 0.$$

This holds also for all rational numbers $3 < t_0 < t$, because otherwise $K_X + t_0L$ would be big and then also $K_X + tL$ is big.

Thus: $K_X^2 = 0 = K_X \cdot L$. The surface X is thus minimal. By taking a finite étale cover, we can assume X to be either an abelian, or a $K3$ -surface.

But the argument used in [Se95] for abelian varieties shows that an almost strictly nef divisor on an abelian variety is ample.

On the other hand, Riemann-Roch shows that a nef line bundle on a $K3$ -surface is either effective or trivial. An effective almost strictly nef line bundle on a surface is immediately seen to be big, and thus ample. $\square$

2.6. Remark. Claim (C_2) trivially holds also on surfaces of general type and is very easily checked in case $\kappa = 1$. It should also hold in case $\kappa = -\infty$ but we don't need it.

Combining (2.3) and (2.5) we obtain:

2.7. Corollary. *Let X be an n -dimensional connected projective manifold with $\kappa(X) \geq n - 2$. Let L be a strictly nef line bundle on X . Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > n + 1$.*

3. THE ALBANESE MAP

We now study Conjecture 1.2 on projective manifolds X with $q(X) > 0$. Since our most complete result is in dimension 3, we will do this case first and then examine what can be done in higher dimensions.

3.1. Theorem. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold, L strictly nef. Suppose there exists a non-constant map $g : X \rightarrow A$ to an abelian variety. Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > 4$.*

Proof. Let $D_t := 2K_X + 2tL$. We claim that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_t := g_*(2K_X + 2tL)$ satisfies a *generic vanishing theorem* (cf. [Ha04] Theorem 1.2) for t a sufficiently large integer. That is, we have a chain of inclusions

$$V^0(\mathcal{F}) \supset V^1(\mathcal{F}) \dots \supset V^n(\mathcal{F}),$$

where

$$V^i(\mathcal{F}) := \{P \in \text{Pic}^0(X) \mid h^i(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes P) \neq 0\}.$$

Grant the claim for the time being. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a non-zero sheaf for $t \gg 0$, one concludes that $V^0(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$. For otherwise, $V^i(\mathcal{F}) = \emptyset$ for all i , which implies that the Fourier-Mukai transform of $\mathcal{F}$ is zero. This is absurd e.g. by [Mu81, 2.2].

Therefore $h^0(X, 2K_X + 2tL + P) \neq 0$ for some $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$. Now L' be a divisor such that $2tL' = 2tL + P$, then by Proposition 1.6.(3), $K_X + tL'$ is ample for $t > 4$ and hence so is $K_X + tL$ (notice that if $2K_X + 2tL + P$ has a section without zeroes, then $-K_X$ is strictly nef, hence X is Fano and $q(X) = 0$, so that 1.6(3) really applies).

To see the claim, first note that $K_X + t_0L$ is g -big for some $t_0 > 0$ (1.5(1)). Fix any ample line bundle H on A . By Lemma 2.4, it is easy to see that $a(K_X + 2tL) + g^*H$ is nef and big for $a > 0$ and $t > t_0$. Set $D_0 := 2(K_X + 2tL) + g^*H$. then $D_0 - K_X$ is again nef and big. By the Base Point Free Theorem, mD_0 is spanned for some $m \gg 0$. Take D a general smooth member in $|mD_0|$. Then we have

$$2K_X + 2tL + g^*H \equiv K_X + \frac{2m-1}{2m}g^*H + \frac{1}{2m}D,$$

where $(X, \frac{1}{2m}D)$ is klt. By the vanishing theorem of Kollár, we have

$$H^j(A, g_*(2K_X + 2tL) \otimes H) = 0, \text{ for all } j > 0$$

and moreover

$$H^j(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes H \otimes P) = H^j(A, g_*(2K_X + 2tL) \otimes H \otimes P) = 0 \text{ for all } j > 0, P \in \text{Pic}^0(X).$$

In other words, *per definitionem* the sheaf $\mathcal{F} \otimes H$ is IT^0 for all ample line bundles H .

Next, let M be any ample line bundle on the dual abelian variety $\hat{A}$ and $\phi : \hat{A} \rightarrow A$ is the isogeny defined by M . Let $\hat{M}$ be the Fourier-Mukai transform of M on A and let $\hat{M}^\vee$ be its dual. By [Mu81] Proposition 3.11,

$$\phi^*(\hat{M}^\vee) \cong \oplus^{h^0(M)} M.$$

Let $\hat{g} : \hat{X} := X \times_A \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ be the base change with $\varphi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ being étale. Clearly, $K_{\hat{X}} = \varphi^*K_X$ and φ^*L is strictly nef on $\hat{X}$. Let $\mathcal{G} := \hat{g}_*(\varphi^*(2K_X + 2tL))$. By applying the above argument to φ^*D_t , we see that $\mathcal{G} \otimes M$ is IT^0 for all M . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \hat{M}^\vee) &= \phi^*(g_*(D_t \otimes \hat{M}^\vee)) \\
&= \phi^*g_*((D_t \otimes g^*\hat{M}^\vee)) \\
&= \hat{g}_*\varphi^*((D_t \otimes g^*\hat{M}^\vee)) \\
&= \hat{g}_*(\varphi^*D_t \otimes \varphi^*g^*\hat{M}^\vee) \\
&= \hat{g}_*(\varphi^*D_t \otimes \hat{g}^*\phi^*\hat{M}^\vee) \\
&= \hat{g}_*(\varphi^*D_t \otimes \hat{g}^*(\oplus M)) \\
&= \oplus(\hat{g}_*\varphi^*D_t \otimes M)
\end{aligned}$$

which is IT^0 .

Since $\mathcal{O}_A$ is a direct summand of $\phi_*\phi^*\mathcal{O}_A$, it follows that $\mathcal{F} \otimes \hat{M}^\vee$ is IT^0 . By [Ha04] Theorem 1.2, our claim follows. $\square$

3.2. Corollary. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold, L strictly nef. Suppose that $\tilde{q}(X) > 0$. Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > 4$.*

Proof. By the previous theorem we only have to treat the case that $q(X) = 0$. Then we choose a finite étale cover $h : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $q(\tilde{X}) > 0$. Hence $K_{\tilde{X}} + h^*(L)$ is ample for $t > n + 1$ and so does $K_X + tL$. $\square$

3.3. Remark. There are two obstacles for extending Theorem 3.1 to all dimensions. The first is the use of 1.6(3) which has to be extended to higher dimensions. We will do this below. The second is the g -bigness of $K_X + tL$. This means that $K_F + tL_F$ is big for the general fiber F of g . Thus we need to argue by induction on the dimension, but of course we are far from proving the conjecture for arbitrary manifolds (with vanishing irregularity).

3.4. Lemma. *Let X be an irreducible reduced projective Gorenstein variety with desingularization $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Let $g : X \rightarrow A$ non-constant and L be a strictly nef line bundle on X . Suppose that $K_X + t_0L$ is g -big for some t_0 and set $\hat{L} = \pi^*(L)$.*

1.) *The sheaf $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = g_*\pi_*(2K_{\tilde{X}} + 2t\hat{L})$ satisfies the generic vanishing theorem*

$$V^0(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) \supset V^1(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) \dots \supset V^n(\hat{\mathcal{F}}).$$

2.) *If $t \gg 0$, then there exists $P \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ such that*

$$H^0(2K_X + 2tL + P) \neq 0.$$

Proof. (1) This is just what the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.1 gives. (2) By (1) and the first arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain that

$$H^0(2K_{\tilde{X}} + 2\hat{L} + \hat{P}) \neq 0$$

for some $\hat{P} \in \text{Pic}^0(\tilde{X})$. Since $\hat{P}$ comes from A , it is of the form $\pi^*(P)$. Moreover we have $\pi_*(2K_{\tilde{X}}) \subset 2K_X$ since X is Gorenstein, hence claim (2) follows. $\square$

3.5. Theorem. *Let X_n be an irreducible reduced projective variety with a non-constant map $g : X \rightarrow A$. Let L be a strictly nef line bundle on X and assume that $K_X + t_0L$ is g -big for some t_0 (e.g., Conjecture 1.2 holds in dimension $< n$). Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > n + 1$.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on n . Since we argue numerically, we may ignore P and choose by Lemma 3.4

$$D = \sum m_i D_i \in |2K_X + 2tL|$$

for large t . We may select a component, say D_1 , such that $\dim g(D_1) \neq 0$ and consider the non-constant map $g_1 : D_1 \rightarrow A$. By induction $K_{D_1} + tL_{D_1}$ is ample, if $t > n$. We now adopt the methods of [Se95,3.1]. The equation

$$0 = D \cdot (K_X + tL)^{n-1}$$

leads, via the nefness of $K_X + tL$, to

$$0 = D_1 \cdot K_X^j \cdot L^{n-1-j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1. \quad (*)$$

Choose k such that $L_{D_1}^{n-k} \neq 0$, e.g., $k = n-1$. Then

$$0 < (K_{D_1} + tL_{D_1})^k \cdot L_{D_1}^{n-k} = D_1 \cdot (K_{D_1} + tL_{D_1})^k \cdot L_{D_1}^{n-k}.$$

By (*) we obtain

$$0 < D_1^2 \cdot L^{n-2}.$$

On the other hand, (*) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_1 \cdot (2K_X + tL) \cdot L^{n-2} = D_1 \cdot \left(\sum m_i D_i \right) \cdot L^{n-2} = \\ &= m_1 D_1^2 \cdot L^{n-2} + \sum_{i \geq 2} m_i D_1 \cdot D_i \cdot L^{n-2} \geq m_1 D_1^2 L^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. $\square$

3.6. Corollary. *Let X_n be a projective manifold with Albanese map $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$. Let L be strictly nef on X . Assume that $\dim \alpha(X) \geq n-2$, or $\dim \alpha(X) = n-3$ but the general fiber F is not Calabi-Yau with $L_F \cdot c_2(F) = 0$. Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > n+1$.*

4. FANO FIBRATIONS

We shall now (in particular) complete the proof of Theorem 0.2 (1). Observe that due to 1.5(2), 2.3 and 3.1, the only cases left are uniruled threefolds with $q = 0$. These cases are thus settled by 4.1-2 and 5.1-2 below.

In this section we settle the cases of del Pezzo fibrations over curves and elementary conic bundles over surfaces.

4.1. Proposition. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold, L strictly nef on X . Suppose that X carries an extremal contraction $f : X \rightarrow B$ to a curve B . Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for large t .*

Proof. Since $K_X + tL$ and L are strictly nef and since $\rho(X) = 2$, $K_X + tL$ is clearly ample for large t unless $-K_X$ and L are proportional. Hence X is Fano by Serrano's theorem (1.5) which ends the proof. $\square$

4.2. Proposition. *Let $f : X_3 \rightarrow S$ be a conic bundle with $\rho(X/S) = 1$. If L is strictly nef on X , then $K_X + tL$ is ample, for $t > 4$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.2 we may assume that $q(S) = 0$, even after a finite étale cover of the smooth surface S .

Since $\rho(X/S) = 1$, we find a positive number t_0 such that

$$K_X + t_0 L = \phi^*(K_S + M)$$

with a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor M on S . We cube the equation $t_0L = -K_X + f^*(K_S + M)$ to obtain

$$0 = 3K_X^2 \cdot f^*(K_S + M) - 3K_X \cdot f^*(K_S + M)^2 = 3K_X^2 \cdot f^*(K_S + M) + 6(K_S + M)^2.$$

From $K_X \cdot t_0L^2 = 0$ we get $K_X^2 \cdot f^*(K_S + M) = 0$, hence in total

$$(K_S + M)^2 = 0.$$

By applying (1.4), we find $\alpha \in \overline{ME}(X)$ such that

$$K_X \cdot \alpha = L \cdot \alpha = 0,$$

in particular $D \cdot \alpha = 0$. Introducing $\gamma = \phi_*(\alpha) \in \overline{ME}(S)$, we obtain

$$(K_S + M) \cdot \gamma = 0.$$

Notice that $\overline{ME}(S)$ is nothing than the nef cone, so γ is a nef class. Next notice that we may choose γ rational. In fact, since the rational points are dense in the nef cone on S and since neither $K_S + M$ nor $-(K_S + M)$ are strictly positive functionals on the nef cone, we find rational points x and y in the nef cone such that

$$(K_S + M) \cdot x \geq 0; \quad (K_S + M) \cdot y \leq 0.$$

We may assume strict inequality in both cases, otherwise we are already done. Then choose $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(K_S + M) \cdot (x + \lambda y) = 0.$$

Noticing that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, we may substitute γ by $x + \lambda y$. Now multiply γ suitably to obtain a nef line bundle G such that

$$(K_S + M) \cdot G = 0.$$

If now $G^2 > 0$, then Hodge Index gives $K_S + M = 0$, so that $H^0(m(K_X + t_0L)) \neq 0$ for positive integers m such that $mt_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus we may assume that $G^2 = 0$. Together with $(K_S + M)^2 = (K_S + M) \cdot G = 0$, one has $(K_S + M + \tau G)^2 = 0$ for all τ .

Let $C \subset S$ be an irreducible curve. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_0^2 L^2 \cdot f^*(C) &= (f^*(K_S + M) - K_X)^2 \cdot f^*(C) = -2f^*(K_S + M) \cdot K_X \cdot C + K_X^2 \cdot f^*(C) = \\ &= 4(K_S + M) \cdot C - (4K_S + \Delta) \cdot C = (M - \Delta) \cdot C. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The last equation is explained as follows. Outside the singular locus of S , the map f is a conic bundle; let Δ denote the closure of the discriminant locus. Then it is well-known that

$$f_*(K_X^2) = -(4K_S + \Delta).$$

Now we restrict ourselves to curves C with $C^2 \geq 0$. Then clearly $L^2 \cdot f^*(C) \geq 0$, hence

$$(M - \Delta) \cdot C \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

in particular

$$(M - \Delta) \cdot G \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Moreover we have a strict inequality in (2) unless $C_0 = \emptyset$ and $L^2 \cdot f^*(C) = 0$. The inequality (3) says in particular that M is pseudo-effective. Thus the equation $(K_S + M) \cdot G = 0$ forces $\kappa(S) \leq 1$.

(I) We first assume $\kappa(S) = -\infty$. Then S is a rational surface. The case that $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ is easy. So we may assume that $\pi : S = S_n \rightarrow S_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S_0$ is a succession of blow-ups, where S_0 is a ruled surface with minimal section C_0 that $C_0^2 = -e$.

Now we write

$$\begin{aligned} K_S + M &= \pi^*(\alpha_1 C_0 + \beta_1 F) + E_1 \\ G &= \pi^*(\alpha_2 C_0 + \beta_2 F) + E_2, \end{aligned}$$

where E_1, E_2 are divisors supported on exceptional curves.

If $\alpha_2 = 0$, then it is clear that $E_2 = 0$ and $G = \beta_2 \pi^* F$. Then $(K_S + M) \cdot G = 0$ gives $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $(K_S + M)^2 = 0$ gives $E_1 = 0$. So $K_S + M = \beta_1 \pi^* F$, and we are done.

If $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, take $\tau = \frac{-\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$, then

$$K_S + M + \tau G = (\beta_1 + \tau \beta_2) \pi^* F + E_1 + \tau E_2.$$

$(K_S + M + \tau G)^2 = 0$ gives $(E_1 + \tau E_2)^2 = 0$. It implies $E_1 + \tau E_2 = 0$ by the negativity of intersection form of exceptional divisors.

Let $\delta := \beta_1 + \tau \beta_2$. If $\delta \neq 0$, then $K_S + M = -\tau G + \delta \pi^* F$. Again, one has $\alpha_2 = G \cdot \pi^* F = 0$ which is absurd.

Therefore, $K_S + M = -\tau G$. Now since, by (3), $M \cdot G \geq 0$, we have $K_S \cdot G \leq 0$. By Riemann-Roch and the obvious vanishing $H^0(K_S - G) = 0$, we have

$$h^0(S, G) \geq \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1.$$

Hence G is effective. G is non-zero for otherwise $K_X + t_0 L \equiv 0$, hence $-K_X$ is strictly nef and thus X is Fano. Therefore $m(K_X + t_0 L)$ is effective for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we are done in Case (I).

(II) Now suppose that $\kappa(S) \geq 0$. Let

$$\sigma : S \rightarrow S_0$$

be the minimal model. Since $\kappa(S) \geq 0$, we conclude by (2) that

$$K_S \cdot G = M \cdot G = 0.$$

Hence

$$0 = \sigma^*(K_{S_0}) \cdot G + \sum a_i A_i \cdot G$$

with A_i the σ -exceptional curves and a_i suitable positive rational numbers. Thus $G = \sigma^*(G_0)$ with a nef line bundle G_0 on S_0 ; observe that $K_{S_0} \cdot G_0 = 0$ and that $G_0^2 = 0$.

Suppose that $\kappa(S) = 1$. Then we consider the Iitaka fibration $g : S_0 \rightarrow B$ to the curve B (necessarily $B = \mathbb{P}^1$). We conclude that G_0 is a sum of fibers of g . Thus G is a sum of fibers of $g \circ \sigma$. Now consider the composed map $h : X \rightarrow B$. Then it follows that $h_*(\alpha)$ consists of finitely many points. This means that we can find a fiber of h such that $K_X + tL|_F$ is not ample for large t . Thus $K_F + tL_F$ is not ample. If (the reduction of) F is irreducible, this contradicts (1.6). If F_i is a component of F with multiplicity a_i , then $a_i K_{F_i} + tL_{F_i}$ is a subsheaf of $K_F + tL_F|_{F_i}$, and the contradiction is the same.

Finally we have to treat the case $\kappa(S) = 0$. Here we may assume that S_0 is K3. If $G_0^2 = 0$, then by Riemann-Roch $\kappa(G_0) = 1$. Hence some multiple of G_0 is spanned,

defining a morphism $g : S \rightarrow B$. Since the divisor M_0 must be supported on fibers of g , so does Δ . Thus we conclude by (3) for $b \in B$ that

$$L^2 \cdot X_b = 0.$$

But for general b , the fiber $S_{0,b}$ is an elliptic curve and X_b is a $\mathbb{P}_1$ -bundle over $S_{0,b}$ since Δ does not meet $S_{0,b}$. Moreover $L|_{X_b}$ is strictly nef, hence ample, contradiction. $\square$

4.3. Remark. Suppose in (4.2) that $\phi : X \rightarrow S$ is a conic bundle, but not necessarily with $\rho(X/S) = 1$. Then all arguments still remain valid if $K_X + t_0L$ is the ϕ -pull-back of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundle on S , for some rational t_0 .

5. BIRATIONAL MAPS

In order to prove Conjecture 1.2 in the remaining uniruled cases, it is natural to consider the Mori program. If X admits a contraction contracting a divisor to a point, the situation is easily understood.

5.1. Theorem. *Let X be a smooth projective threefold, L strictly nef on X . Suppose that X admits a birational Mori contraction $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ contracting the exceptional divisor E to a point. Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > n + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $K_X + tL$ is not ample. Write

$$K_X = \phi^*(K_Y) + aE;$$

then $a \in \{2, 1, \frac{1}{2}\}$. Possibly after replacing L by $2L$ in case $a = \frac{1}{2}$, we can moreover write

$$L = \phi^*(L') - bE$$

with a line bundle L' on Y . Notice that $b > 0$ since L is strictly nef. Introduce

$$D = bK_X + aL; \quad D' = bK_Y + aL'.$$

Since L' is again strictly nef, $K_Y + tL'$ is strictly nef for $t \gg 0$. Using (1.6)(1) on X it is a simple matter to verify

$$(K_Y + tL')^3 > 0$$

for large t , so that $K_Y + tL'$ is ample. Hence we find positive integers p, q such that $pK_Y + qL'$ is spanned. Choose $S \in |pK_Y + qL'|$ smooth. Now a simple calculation shows that

$$D'^2 \cdot (pK_Y + qL') = D' \cdot (pK_Y + qL')^2 = 0.$$

Thus $D'_S \cdot (pK_Y + tL')_S = 0$. Moreover $(D'_S)^2 = 0$. Hence $D'_S \equiv 0$ by the Hodge index theorem. Thus $D' \equiv 0$ Hence $D \equiv 0$ so that $aL \equiv -bK_X$. Therefore X is Fano by by Serrano (1.5) and $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > 4$, contradiction. $\square$

In case that the contraction $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ contracts a divisor to a curve C , the situation is more involved. The reason is that the induced line bundle L' on Y is not necessarily strictly nef, in fact we can have $L' \cdot C \leq 0$. We have already shown that if X admits a Mori fibration or a divisorial contraction to a point, then the conjecture holds. Since X is smooth, it remains to consider the case that *all* the extremal rays produce a divisorial contraction to a nonsingular curve.

5.2. Proposition. *Let X be a smooth uniruled threefold, L strictly nef on X . Suppose that all extremal contractions on X contract a divisor to a curve. Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for large t .*

Proof. (a) Let us fix some notations first. Let ϕ_i , $i \in I \subset \mathbb{N}$ be the extremal contractions on X , with exceptional divisor E_i . Let $C_i := \phi_i(E_i)$ so that E_i is a $\mathbb{P}_1$ bundle over C_i . Let $[l_i] \in K_X^{\leq 0}$ denotes the class of the contracted ruling lines in E_i .

Let

$$\mu := \min\left\{\frac{L \cdot l_i}{-K_X \cdot l_i}\right\} = \min\{L \cdot l_i\} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Reorder I so that $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$ are exactly those contractions with

$$L \cdot l_i = \mu.$$

Then the divisor

$$D := L + \mu K_X$$

is nef, as a consequence of the cone theorem and the definition of μ . Moreover, if $D \cdot B = 0$ for some $B \in \overline{NE}(X)$, then $K_X \cdot B \leq 0$. In other words,

$$D^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X) \subset K_X^{\leq 0}.$$

In particular, if B is an effective curve, then $D \cdot B = 0$ forces $K_X \cdot B < 0$, because otherwise $K_X \cdot B = 0$, hence $L \cdot B = 0$, contradicting the strict nefness of L .

Our goal is to show that some multiple $mD = mL + \mu K_X$ is effective, so that we are done by (1.6.3).

Let $\phi = \phi_1 : X \rightarrow X_1 = X'$ be the contraction of $E = E_1$. Let $[l] = [l_1]$ and set $L' := (\phi_* L)^{**}$,

$$D' := L' + \mu K_{X'}, D := \phi^*(D') = L + \mu K_X$$

and let $C = \phi(E)$.

(b) We introduce the following numbers

$$\tau := L' \cdot C, \sigma := K_{X'} \cdot C, \gamma = c_1(N_{C/X}^*).$$

Furthermore, let g be the genus of C and $\chi = 2 - 2g$.

First we treat the case $L' \cdot C > 0$ so that L' is strictly nef. Then by induction on ρ , the bundle $K_{X'} + tL'$ is ample, for $t > 4$. Let $t_0 = \frac{1}{\mu}$. Then $K_{X'} + t_0 L'$ is nef, since D' is nef. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a small positive number. Then

$$K_{X'} + \frac{t_0}{1 - \epsilon} L'$$

is big (otherwise we would have $(K_{X'} + tL')^3 = 0$ for all t which is absurd). Now the base point free theorem implies that some multiple $m(K_{X'} + t_0 L')$ is spanned, hence $m'D'$ is spanned, and we are done.

Thus we are reduced to

$$L' \cdot C \leq 0.$$

Hence $K_{X'} \cdot C \geq 0$, and C is rigid, since $L' \cdot C' > 0$ for every irreducible effective curve $C' \neq C$ on X' . We claim that:

$$D' \cdot C \geq 1. \quad (*)$$

In fact, we need only to exclude the case: $D' \cdot C = 0$. Assuming that, we obtain

$$L' \cdot C + \mu K_{X'} \cdot C = 0$$

and

$$L_E \equiv -\mu K_X|_E.$$

Since $L \cdot C_0 > 0$, we have $K_{X'} \cdot C_0 < 0$, hence C_0 moves. Since C is rigid, C_0 can move only inside E , hence $e \leq 0$. Write $N_E^* \equiv C_0 + \lambda l$. Then it is easily checked that $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2}e$, in the notations of [Ha 77]; so that

$$N_E^* = C_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2}e\right)l.$$

Since L_E is strictly nef, so is $-K_X|_E - N_E^* = C_0 + (e + 2 - 2g - \lambda)l$, so that we conclude:

$$e + 2 - 2g - \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2}e \geq \frac{e}{2}, \quad (**)$$

hence

$$2 - 2g \geq \frac{1}{2}\gamma,$$

with strict inequality for $e = 0$, since on those ruled surfaces all strictly nef line bundles are ample.

By the adjunction formula we have $\gamma = \sigma + (2 - 2g)$, hence $\sigma \leq 2 - 2g$. Since $\sigma \geq 0$, we obtain $g \leq 1$. But a strictly nef divisor on a ruled surface over a rational or an elliptic curve is ample, hence the inequality $(**)$ is strict. Thus $g = e = 0$ and $\sigma < 2, \gamma \leq 3$. So

$$N_C^* = \mathcal{O}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}(k)$$

with $0 < \gamma = 2k \leq 3$, hence $k = 1$ and $\sigma = 0$. So $K_{X'} \cdot C = 0 = L' \cdot C$, and L' is nef. If for large t , the nef bundle $K_{X'} + tL'$ is big, then we conclude as in the case $L' \cdot C > 0$. So we may assume that $(K_{X'} + tL')^3 = 0$ for all t . Then $K_{X'}^3 = 0$. However $K_X^3 = 0$ forces $K_{X'}^3 = -2$, contradiction. Thus we must have

$$D' \cdot C > 0.$$

(c) Case: $D'^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X) \subset K_{X'}^\perp$.

We are going to rule out this case. Assume there is an irreducible curve $B' \in \overline{NE}(X')$ such that $D' \cdot B' = 0$. Necessarily $B' \neq C$. By assumption, $K_{X'} \cdot B' = 0$. Let B be the strict transform of B' in X . Then $D \cdot B = 0$. Since $E \cdot B \geq 0$, we also get $K_X \cdot B \geq 0$. Since $L \cdot B > 0$ and $D \cdot B = 0$, this is impossible. Hence D' is strictly nef and by induction, $K_{X'} + tL'$ is ample for large t . On the other hand, D' is not ample, hence there exists a nonzero class $B^* \in \overline{NE}(X')$ with $D' \cdot B^* = 0$, hence $K_{X'} \cdot B^* = 0$, by assumption. This is absurd.

(d) Case: $D'^\perp \cap \overline{NE}(X) \not\subset K_{X'}^\perp$.

Then we find $B' \in \overline{NE}(X')$ such that $D' \cdot B' = 0$ and $K_{X'} \cdot B' < 0$. Since D' is nef, we also find an extremal curve l' with $D' \cdot l' = 0$. Let $\phi' : X' \rightarrow X''$ be the associated contraction.

(d.1) Suppose that $\dim X'' \leq 2$. Observe that $D' = \phi'^*(D'')$ with a nef bundle D' on X'' . So if $\dim X'' \leq 1$, the bundle D' has a section and we are done. The same argument works if $\dim X'' = 2$ and $D''^2 \neq 0$. In the remaining case we need more arguments. Let l' be a smooth conic and assume that l' meets C . Let l be its strict transform in X . Then $K_X \cdot l \geq -1$. Since $D \cdot l = 0$ and $L \cdot l > 0$, necessarily $K_X \cdot l = -1$ and $E \cdot l = 1$. Thus l meets C transversely in one point. The same computations show that C cannot meet a singular conic. Thus C is a section of $X' \rightarrow X''$ and $X \rightarrow X''$ is still a conic bundle. Then we conclude by Lemma 4.2 and Remark 4.3.

(d.2) Suppose ϕ' is birational with exceptional divisor E' .

If $C \subset E'$, then, C being rigid, E' must be ruled and C is the exceptional section in E' . Let l' be a ruling line and l its strict transform in X . Then $K_X \cdot l = 0$. Since $D \cdot l = 0$, we have $L \cdot l = 0$, which is absurd.

Things are more complicated when $E' \cap C$ is a finite non-empty set. Suppose first that E' is not $\mathbb{P}_2$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$. In this situation we find a rational curve $l' \subset E'$ meeting C with $K_{X'} \cdot l' = -1$. Let $\hat{l}$ be the strict transform in X . Then

$$\phi^*(l') = \hat{l} + al$$

with some positive integer a . Since $D' \cdot l' = D \cdot l = 0$, it follows $D \cdot \hat{l} = 0$. Now

$$K_X \cdot \hat{l} = -1 + a \geq 0.$$

Hence $D \cdot \hat{l} = (L + \mu K_X) \cdot \hat{l} > 0$, contradiction.

It remains to do the case $E' = \mathbb{P}_2$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$. Fixing a line $l' \subset E'$ which meets C , the same computations as above show that $L \cdot \hat{l} = 1, \mu = 1, K_X \cdot \hat{l} = -1$ and $a = 1$. Notice that E' can meet C only in one point (transversely). In fact, otherwise we choose two points in $E' \cap C$ and a line l^* through these two points. Then the strict transform $\hat{l}^*$ satisfies $K_X \cdot \hat{l}^* \geq 0$, which is impossible, as already observed. Hence $\hat{E}'$ is ruled over $\mathbb{P}_1$ with fibers $\hat{l}$. Since $\hat{E}' \cdot \hat{l} = -1$, we can blow down X along the projection $\hat{E}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$ to obtain $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$, the blow-up of Y along a smooth curve $C' \simeq \mathbb{P}_1$. A priori it is not clear that Y is projective. Let $L_Y = (\psi_*(L))^{**}$. Then

$$L = \psi^*(L_Y) - \hat{E}'.$$

Denoting by C_0 the exceptional section of $\hat{E}'$ and noticing that $N_{\hat{E}'}^* = C_0 + \hat{l}$, we obtain

$$L|_{\hat{E}'} = C_0 + (L_Y \cdot C') + 1)\hat{l}.$$

Since $L|_{\hat{E}'}$ is ample, it follows that $L_Y \cdot C' > 0$ so that L_Y is strictly nef on the Moishezon manifold Y . Then Y has to be projective: otherwise by [Pe86] we find an irreducible curve D and a positive closed current T on Y such that $[D + T] = 0$. But $L_Y \cdot D > 0$ and $L_Y \cdot T \geq 0$. Now, Y being projective, we conclude by the first part of (b).

If finally $E' \cap C = \emptyset$, then the strict transform of E' in X is some $E_j, 2 \leq j \leq n$, hence defines an extremal contraction on X with the same properties as ϕ and we can continue by induction. Since we assume X uniruled, after finitely many steps we arrive at $\dim X^{[m]} \leq 2$ and argue as above, $\square$

6. HIGHER DIMENSIONS

In higher dimensions it is certainly very difficult to deal with Fano fibrations; however it is instructive to look at $\mathbb{P}_k$ -bundles to get an idea on the higher dimensional case. Here we can calculate explicitly.

6.1. Theorem. *Let X be a $\mathbb{P}_k$ -bundle over a smooth surface S . Suppose that L is strictly nef on X . Then $K_X + tL$ is ample for $t > k + 3$.*

Proof. After possibly performing a finite étale cover, we may assume that X is the projectivisation of a rank $(r + 1)$ -bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on S . If we allow $\mathcal{E}$ to be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundle, we may assume that

$$L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(k)$$

with some positive number k . We also introduce $\zeta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. Notice that $\det \mathcal{E}$ is strictly nef and suppose that $K_X + tL$ is not ample. Then

$$K_X^j \cdot L^{r+2-j} = 0$$

for all j by (1.5). First recall the following

$$\zeta^{r+1} - \pi^* c_1(\mathcal{E}) \zeta^r + \pi^* c_2(\mathcal{E}) \zeta^{r-1} = 0,$$

and

$$K_X = -(r+1)\zeta + \pi^*(\det \mathcal{E} + K_S).$$

The equation $L^{r+2} = 0$ immediately leads to

$$\zeta^{r+2} = c_1(\mathcal{E})^2 - c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Secondly, combining with $\zeta^{r+2} = 0$, the equation $L^{r+1} \cdot K_X = 0$ leads to

$$\zeta^{r+1} \cdot \pi^*(c_1(\mathcal{E}) + K_S) = c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot (c_1(\mathcal{E}) + K_S) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, the equation $L^r \cdot K_X^2 = 0$ leads to

$$\zeta^r \cdot \pi^*(c_1(\mathcal{E}) + K_S)^2 = (c_1(\mathcal{E}) + K_S)^2 = 0. \quad (7)$$

By (6), (7), we have $K_S \cdot (c_1(\mathcal{E}) + K_S) = 0$ and hence $K_S^2 = c_1(\mathcal{E})^2$. Since $\det \mathcal{E}$ is strictly nef, equation (6) yields that $K_S^2 = c_1(\mathcal{E})^2 \geq 0$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot K_S \leq 0$.

First suppose that $\kappa(S) \geq 0$. Then $K_S \cdot \det \mathcal{E} = 0$ and $K_S^2 = 0$ for $\det \mathcal{E}$ being strictly nef. Hence $K_S \equiv 0$. Then by (1.5) $\det \mathcal{E}$ is ample, contradicting $c_1(\mathcal{E})^2 = K_S^2$.

It remains to consider $\kappa(S) = -\infty$. Since $K_S^2 \geq 0$, S is either rational or a minimal ruled surface over an elliptic curve. In the latter case, $K_S^2 = 0$, hence $c_1(\mathcal{E})^2 = 0$. On the other hand, any strictly nef divisor on a ruled surface over an elliptic curve is ample (use [Ha77, V.2]), a contradiction.

In case of a rational surface S , choose a positive integer m such that $m \det \mathcal{E}$ is Cartier. Then Riemann-Roch and $(K_S + \det \mathcal{E})^2 = 0$ show that $h^0(m(K_S + \det \mathcal{E})) > 0$. This contradicts via $(K_S + \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot \det \mathcal{E} = 0$ the strict nefness of $\det \mathcal{E}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BDPP04] Boucksom, S.; Demailly, J.P.; Paun, M.; Peternell, Th.: The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension. *math.AG/0405285*
- [Ha04] Hacon, C.: A derived category approach to generic vanishing. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **575**, 173-187 (2004).
- [Ha70] Hartshorne, R.: Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **156**, Springer Verlag, (1970).
- [Ha77] Hartshorne, R.: Algebraic geometry. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **52**, Springer-Verlag, (1977).
- [KMM87] Kawamata, Y.; Matsuki, K.; Matsuda, K.: Introduction to the minimal model program. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **10** (1987), 283-360
- [Mu81] Mukai, S.: Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with application to Picard sheaves. *Nagoya Math. J.* **81**, 153-175 (1981)
- [Se95] Serrano, F.: Strictly nef divisors and Fano threefolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **464**, 187-206 (1995).

Frédéric Campana, Département de Mathématiques, Université de Nancy, F-54506
Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France,
frederic.campana@iecn.u-nancy.fr

Jungkai Alfred Chen, Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei
106, Taiwan,
jkchen@math.ntu.edu.tw

Thomas Peterzell, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bayreuth, D-95440 Bayreuth,
thomas.peterzell@uni-bayreuth.de